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Single molecule studies of protein–nucleic acid interactions shed light on molecular mechanisms and kinetics involved in protein binding, translocation, and unwinding of DNA and RNA substrates. In this review, we provide an overview of a single molecule fluorescence method, termed “protein induced fluorescence enhancement” (PIFE). Unlike FRET where two dyes are required, PIFE employs a single dye attached to DNA or RNA to which an unlabeled protein is applied. We discuss both ensemble and single molecule studies in which PIFE was utilized.

Introduction

Single molecule Förster Resonance Energy Transfer (FRET) is widely used to probe nucleic acid–protein interactions. FRET visualization is based on the excitation of a donor fluorophore and its concomitant energy transfer to a neighboring acceptor fluorophore. The efficiency of this transfer is converted to an approximate distance between the two dyes. By singly labeling the interacting partner molecules, DNA and protein, for example with a donor and acceptor fluorophore, one can observe in real time the protein binding to its substrate and its movement along it, in the case of a motor protein. However, fluorescent tagging of proteins is inefficient, difficult, and sometimes perturbs protein function. Furthermore, proteins with a high dissociation constant, $K_d$, require addition of high concentration of fluorescence, hindering the detection of single molecules. The Protein Induced Fluorescence Enhancement (PIFE) assay bypasses the labeling of proteins since the fluorophore attached to the substrate serves as a reporter of the protein binding and its movement. The intensity of a fluorophore is enhanced upon binding of a protein in its vicinity. Used in the stopped-flow ensemble method and single molecule experiments, PIFE can be employed to study protein–nucleic acid interactions simply by measuring the fluorescence intensity. In this review, we will focus on the method and applications of PIFE in single molecule as well as ensemble fluorescence measurements.

Experimental setup of single molecule measurements

Both the prism-type and objective-type total internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF) microscope can be used for single molecule...
PIFE measurements (smPIFE) (Fig. 1A). The setup for smPIFE is identical to the TIRF microscope used for single molecule FRET measurements, with the exception that the dichroic beamsplitter is unnecessary. A detailed guide on building the TIRF setup has been previously published.1

Similar to other single molecule fluorescence measurements, quartz and coverslip slides are passivated with mPEG (methoxypolyethylene glycol) to prevent non-specific binding of molecules to the surface (Fig. 1B). Approximately 1–2% of biotinylated-PEG is included in mPEG to coat the microscope slides. Once prepared, the slides can be stored at −20 or −80 °C for over one month. At the time of the measurement, the slide is assembled to create flow channels to which all aqueous sample solutions can be applied (Fig. 1C). NeutrAvidin is added to the biotin-PEG surface to prepare for “seeding” of fluorescent molecules. Next, fluorescently labeled and biotinylated DNA or RNA (50–100 pM) substrates are applied to the biotin–NeutrAvidin surface. This procedure should yield 300–600 fluorescent molecules in one field of view.2

For PIFE imaging and histogram analysis, it is crucial to achieve an even illuminated surface that renders one sharply peaked intensity profile so that the intensity change induced by protein binding can be clearly distinguished (Fig. 1D). For the same reason, one needs to cautiously monitor the intensity of DNA-only or RNA-only signals before any protein is added. If the individual traces will be normalized to the protein-unbound intensity, the intensity distribution on the imaging surface does not need to be uniform.

History and chemical basis of PIFE

In 1984, Aramendia et al. observed through steady-state fluorescence emission and fast photolysis that quantum yield of cyanine dyes was directly proportional to the viscosity of the local environment, but inversely proportional to temperature.3 They attributed the change in fluorescence quantum yield to cis–trans isomerization of the cyanine dye from the singlet-excited state to a non-fluorescent state, which competes with the fluorescence state (Fig. 2A). This reaction involves the rotation of half of the molecule with respect to the other half which are connected through a carbon–carbon double bond.

The same photophysical phenomenon has been employed in stopped-flow measurements to investigate kinetics of DNA motor proteins in Lohman’s lab (see more details in Fig. 5A–D).4–6 In 2007, Luo et al. used a method equivalent to smPIFE to study T7 DNA polymerase binding to DNA as a first demonstration that protein binding to fluorescently labeled DNA can be monitored by intensity change with single molecule resolution.4 In 2009, we reported the ATP fueled translocation activity of a human antiviral protein, RIG-I. In this study, RIG-I translocation along double stranded RNA (dsRNA) or the DNA:RNA hybrid was seen as gradual intensity increase and decrease in an ATP
dependent manner.\textsuperscript{7,8} Based on the RIG-I study and a few other studies on DNA binding proteins, the distance sensitivity of smPIFE was calibrated to be within the 0 to 3 nm range.\textsuperscript{9}

The \textit{cis}–\textit{trans} photoisomerization reaction and the resulting fluorescence intensity of the cyanine dyes depend strongly on their local molecular environment. The increase in local viscosity of a fluorophore results in enhanced fluorescence intensity.\textsuperscript{3,10,11} Similarly, the PIFE effect can arise from a protein that acts as an additional viscosity factor. In addition, as mentioned previously, the quantum yield of Cy3 depends on whether it is linked to double strand DNA (dsDNA), single strand DNA (ssDNA), or other secondary structures of DNA.\textsuperscript{11} In the same way, the DNA moiety that surrounds the fluorophore may provide variable viscosity environments which influence the quantum yield and thus the brightness of the dye.

The PIFE effect is strongly correlated with the fluorescence lifetime of the dye. Using time correlated single photon counting (TCSPC) measurements, Sorokina \textit{et al.} demonstrated that both the fluorescence intensity and its lifetime increased in a step-wise manner when T7 RNA polymerase binds to a fluorescently labeled DNA (Fig. 2B).\textsuperscript{12} We also reported that a restriction enzyme binding to 1–10 base pairs away from the Cy3 dye resulted in distance dependent fluorescence increase and a corresponding increase in the lifetime of the dye (Fig. 2C). In contrast, Cy3B that does not undergo \textit{cis}–\textit{trans} isomerization exhibits no increase in quantum yield or fluorescent lifetime.\textsuperscript{9} This further validates the \textit{cis}–\textit{trans} isomerization as the main chemical basis of the PIFE effect.

Cy3 has predominately been the dye of choice for PIFE and FRET experiments due to its high absorption coefficient, high photostability, and modest quantum yield.\textsuperscript{13} In addition to Cy3 dye, the PIFE effect has also been observed with several other dyes including DY547, Cy5 and Alexa dyes.\textsuperscript{9,14} In principle, if a dye has the same type of chemistry \textit{i.e.} two rings interconnected by carbon–carbon double bonds that undergo \textit{cis}–\textit{trans} isomerization, it is expected to exhibit the PIFE effect. In FRET measurements, the PIFE can play a role in the following ways. For the high FRET scenario, the protein binding near the two dyes can enhance the intensity of both dyes without changing the FRET value. When in low FRET, if a protein approaches only one dye, this can be visualized as an intensity increase in only a single dye without the anti-correlated decrease exhibited by the other dye.

**Application – determination of the protein binding constant, substrate specificity, binding position and kinetics**

The most obvious advantage of smPIFE assay lies in its ability to monitor protein binding to a fluorescently labeled DNA or RNA without having to label the protein. It offers a relatively quick test for any purified protein that is expected to bind to nucleic acids. Once the binding is confirmed, protein concentration can be titrated to obtain the protein’s binding affinity. The fluorescence intensity histogram collected from thousands of data points for each dissociation constant can be fit to a four parameter logistic curve to obtain the dissociation constant. The analysis is straightforward when the cytochrome c binding to DNA is carried out in the presence of different concentrations of the protein where the binding affinity changes with the protein concentration.
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of molecules can be compared and quantified before and after the addition of the protein as long as the illumination profile of the surface remains constant (Fig. 3A). The area under the histogram peaks can be quantified to estimate the substrate bound fraction over the total (bound plus unbound) and plotted to render the $K_d$, or the dissociation constant of the protein. We note that the histograms for PIFE can be reconstructed after normalizing the protein bound intensity by the protein unbound intensity for individual molecules. In this regard, the constant illumination is not absolutely required for generating histograms.

For a protein of unknown substrate specificity, the same type of experiment and analysis can be applied to various nucleic acid substrates. For example, a helicase can be subjected to 3′ or 5′ tailed DNA (or RNA), forked substrate, and blunt ended duplex DNA (or RNA) to measure its binding preference (Fig. 3B). In a recent study by Markiewicz et al., Pol1A binding to internally labeled DNA was studied by smPIFE. In this study, they obtained and plotted the $k_{on}$ and $k_{off}$ as a function of protein concentration and demonstrated that only the $k_{on}$ is exclusively dependent on the Pol1A concentration (Fig. 3C).

One major advantage of using PIFE over FRET is to measure binding kinetics without having issues with aberrant acceptor fluorophore photophysics. For some smFRET experiments, the unbound substrate FRET state cannot be distinguished from the substrate bound by a protein with an inactive acceptor dye (both result in zero FRET). This makes measuring binding kinetics by FRET unreliable. PIFE is often a much more accurate way to measure binding kinetics because there is no acceptor fluorophore.

Another unique feature of PIFE is its ability to distinguish protein binding in the vicinity of a fluorescent dye. Such distance sensitivity was demonstrated in our recent study where the restriction enzyme binding site was engineered at 1 to 10 base-pair (bp) distance away from the Cy3 label on dsDNA to which BamHI enzyme was applied. The resulting PIFE effect was 2.6× and 1.3× enhancement for 1 bp and 10 bp distances, respectively, with 2 to 7 bp positions showing enhancement in a distance dependent manner. Therefore, the approximate binding position of a protein relative to the dye position can be assessed by the level of PIFE (Fig. 3D). Based on more than ten proteins tested in our experience, the PIFE effect is around 2 to 2.5 fold at its...
maximal \( i.e. \) when the protein binds closest to a dye. One exception where the PIFE effect is much greater \( i.e. \) up to 3 to 4 fold enhancement is for the proteins that form into a filament such as RecA and Rad51.\(^9,^{17}\) We note that the presence of a fluorescent dye attached to substrates does not perturb the protein binding or kinetics in most cases. For example, the BamHI mediated cleavage of the double stranded DNA with a fluorophore showed a rapid digestion immediately after magnesium was added to the reaction (within one minute). We observed similar rates of digestion regardless of the dye position, suggesting that the dye does not interfere with the function of BamHI.\(^9\) Many previous single molecule FRET studies also showed that the protein’s biochemical activity is preserved when dye attached substrates were used.\(^9,^{17}–^{21}\) Furthermore, it is crucial to compare the activity of the protein by using standard biochemical assays such as ATP hydrolysis, SPR, EMSA.

**Distance sensitivity of PIFE**

The distance sensitive range of PIFE was determined based on the restriction enzyme BamHI binding assay summarized above (Fig. 3D) and the RIG-I translocation data (Fig. 4A).\(^9\) The single molecule traces obtained in RIG-I translocation were dissected into individual translocation events to analyze the PIFE sensitive and the PIFE insensitive portion (Fig. 4B). The rapid intensity decrease corresponds to the PIFE sensitive distance range where RIG-I translocates away from the fluorophore whereas the plateau is interpreted as the PIFE insensitive portion where the intensity does not report on the protein movement. Assuming a constant rate of translocation, the data reflected that the first 10–12 bp movement was detectable by PIFE.\(^9\)

Compared to FRET which reports on distance change in the 3–8 nm range, PIFE shows a sharp sensitivity within the 0–3 nm range, thereby adding sensitivity to the FRET insensitive short distance range (Fig. 4C). Taken together, PIFE is a powerful alternative as well as a complement to FRET.

**Application – translocation of DNA and RNA motor proteins**

The PIFE equivalent assay was initially utilized in ensemble stopped-flow measurements to measure the translocation of DNA motor proteins such as PcrA, UvrD and Rep.\(^4–^{6,22}\) In the case of 3’ to 5’ directed protein movement, the fluorescence located at the 5’ end of DNA is expected to show at a later time at which fluorescence started to increase compared to the 3’ labeled DNA (Fig. 5A). The protein and substrate are rapidly mixed together with ATP while fluorescence is measured. The sample includes excess of unlabeled single stranded DNA to monitor the kinetics arising from a single turn-over reaction \( i.e. \) single event of translocation per DNA. When tested for different lengths of DNA, the rate of fluorescence increase due to protein translocation is slower for the longer DNA, due to the longer length of translocation required for the PIFE signal to appear. The rate of translocation can be extracted from the velocity of fluorescence increase (Fig. 5B). The same experiment can be performed at varying ATP concentration, which yields the rate of translocation at different ATP concentrations (Fig. 5C). The rate can be converted to the rate of Pi release from the ATP hydrolysis, which can be fitted to the Michaelis–Menten equation to generate \( K_m \) and \( V_{max} \) for the given protein (Fig. 5D).

SmPIFE was first used to probe ATP dependent movement of a human antiviral protein, RIG-I.\(^{14}\) RIG-I recognizes double stranded RNA of virus as a pathogen and transmits the antiviral signal to the downstream immune activators. Although the ATPase activity of RIG-I was shown to be essential for its antiviral function, it was not known why the ATPase activity will be required. We used smPIFE assay to demonstrate that RIG-I translocates across
dsRNA axis in repetition, resulting in sawtooth shaped signal fluctuation from single molecule traces (Fig. 5E). Furthermore, the rate of translocation is greatly accelerated when the RNA contains a viral signature moiety, 5'-triphosphate. The use of smPIFE was ideal since the protein labeling was extremely challenging and the high dissociation constant (>100 nM) required addition of high protein concentration. We have also shown that the RIG-I translocation was dsRNA length dependent and ATP stimulated.

The repetitive shuttling of Rep protein was visualized by smFRET in 2005. Rep is an *E. coli* helicase that functions in DNA recombination and repair. In this study, Rep was singly labeled with a donor dye and it was applied to an acceptor labeled DNA (duplex junction). Unexpectedly, Rep exhibited FRET fluctuation, which is interpreted as repetitive cycles of translocation of Rep on ssDNA. Such activity can also be visualized by smPIFE (Fig. 5F). The unlabeled Rep applied to Cy3 labeled DNA showed intensity fluctuation of Cy3, consistent with the data obtained for smFRET. The asymmetric shape of the PIFE peak, which was also shown in smFRET, arises from the 3' to 5' directed translocation followed by a rapid snapping back of the protein.

PcrA is a homolog of Rep, also known to play a role in DNA recombination and repair. The translocation of PcrA was characterized by smFRET and smPIFE assays. When two dyes were located across the ssDNA (5'-tailed) substrate, PcrA induced continuous FRET fluctuation indicating that PcrA reels in 5' ssDNA in a repetitive manner while being anchored to a duplex junction. The same motion was also visualized by smPIFE where the Cy3 attached to the 5' end of ssDNA showed an intensity fluctuation that reflects the same activity of PcrA (Fig. 5G). The asymmetry seen in this case reflects the gradual translocation which involves PcrA reeling in the ssDNA, followed by an instantaneous release upon reaching the end. Despite the high degree of homology with Rep, PcrA translocation exhibits a different mechanism. The dwell times collected from the highly periodic PcrA translocation were analyzed to yield the elementary step size of a single nucleotide for this motor protein. Such quantitation can also be applied to an smPIFE experiment.

TRBP (TAR RNA binding protein) is a dsRNA binding protein. TRBP is implicated in antiviral signaling as a cofactor of PKR and in microRNA processing as an essential cofactor of Dicer. Unexpectedly, TRBP exhibited diffusion movement along double
stranded RNA. Unlike the ATP induced translocation of RIG-I, Rep and PcrA shown above, TRBP’s repetitive motion is independent of ATP. When subject to smFRET experiment, the Cy3 labeled TRBP applied to Cy5 labeled dsRNA showed rapid FRET fluctuation. The same movement was probed by smPIFE where the unlabeled TRBP added to Cy3 labeled dsRNA resulted in robust Cy3 intensity fluctuations (Fig. 5H). This activity was exclusive to dsRNA i.e. TRBP did not bind or diffuse on DNA:RNA hybrid or ssRNA. In the same study, we identified the similar diffusing activity of two more dsRNA binding proteins, R3D1 and PACT, suggesting that this type of mobility may be more general to other members of the dsRNA binding proteins. Future effort will be put into examining different types of dsRNA binding proteins by taking advantage of smPIFE assay.

Application – filament studies

RecA from E. coli and Rad51 from yeast and humans form filaments along ssDNA during DNA recombination or repair. Once formed, the presynaptic filament is capable of searching for and invading into a homologous dsDNA in its vicinity. The process of filament formation involves successive binding of monomers in a directional manner. The directionality of Rad51 formation on ssDNA was probed by stopped-flow experiments where the Cy3 dye was attached to either the 5’ or the 3’ end (Fig. 6A and C). The filament formation was monitored by PIPE measurements on alternatively labeled ssDNA substrates. The rate of fluorescence increase that corresponds to the rate of filament formation toward the fluorophore showed a substantially more rapid increase for the 5’ labeled DNA than the 3’ labeled DNA, suggesting that the filament formation occurs in the 5’ to 3’ direction (Fig. 6B and D top). When the protein concentration is titrated from 0.1 to 10 μM, the PIPE signal from 5’ Cy3 shows a linear increase whereas the 3’ Cy3 displays a sigmoidal shaped curve over the concentration range of Rad51 protein (semi-log plot). The lag phase seen in the 3’ labeled ssDNA is likely due to the nucleation cluster that is required prior to active filament extension/growth. Below the nucleation, the filament is unstable and easily dissociates into monomer units.

Using smPIFE, RecA filament formation was tested. RecA added to Cy3 labeled ds/ssDNA (Fig. 6E) exhibits stepwise increase and decrease in intensity that reflects monomer binding and dissociation. Since the ssDNA is shorter than what’s required for a stable nucleation cluster formation, the RecA binding is unstable (Fig. 6F). Assuming that each stepwise intensity change arises from a monomer RecA, the intensity levels can be classified into m0, m1, m2, m3 and m4, representing unbound, one, two, three and four monomer bound states in single molecule traces as well as the overall intensity histogram collected from many molecules (Fig. 6F and G). The increased

Fig. 6 Directionality of Rad51 formation schematic can be monitored by stopped-flow experiments with the Cy3 flurorophore attached at either 5’ (A) or 3’ end (C). Intensity increase over time and at various Rad51 concentrations can reflect the directionality of Rad51 binding and filament formation (B and D). (E) Schematic of RecA forming filaments on DNA visualized with smPIFE. (F) Addition and dissociation of each RecA monomer can be visualized as a step-wise increase and decrease in fluorescence intensity, respectively. (G) RecA filament stability can be tested by varying the length of ssDNA. A stable filament formed on a long enough substrate will show one high PIPE intensity peak whereas an unstable filament will produce multiple PIPE peaks of varying intensity.
fluorescence intensity also is likely due to the helical filament that further increases the local viscosity around the dye via increased contact between the protein cluster and the dye. If the same experiment were done by smFRET, the same information regarding monomer binding and dissociation would be detectable, yet the directionality of binding cannot be determined. The directional binding on smPIFE can be tested by the progression of intensity change. If the filament grows from 3’ to 5’, the Cy3 at the 3’ end will display a high intensity immediately upon the first monomer binding. Since the data shows a weaker intensity that gradually steps up to higher intensity, it indicates 5’ to 3’ directionality of the RecA filament. As the length of ssDNA increases, RecA is expected to form a more stable filament, which can be shown as the shift in the smPIFE intensity histogram toward a single peak at the highest intensity (Fig. 6F). The number of peaks can be counted with each monomer binding (Fig. 6G). Similarly, the directionality of the Rad51 filament measured by smPIFE was recently reported in our publication.17

Future applications

So far, smPIFE has only been used to study a limited number of nucleic acid–protein interactions. However, it will be exciting to look into additional proteins that are implicated in DNA and RNA processing pathways. For example, there are numerous proteins that possess dsRNA binding domains that are important players in the microRNA pathway, antiviral signaling and cellular apoptosis. Knowing exactly how these proteins interact with dsRNA with or without secondary structures commonly found in native substrates will enhance our understanding about their functional role. As demonstrated, smPIFE enables detection of even transient interactions that cannot be resolved by biochemical means. With the ease of the assay that bypasses the need for protein labeling, we expect to see more profiling effort that increases the throughput of single molecule studies.

In addition, a more advanced smPIFE experiment with two different cyanine dyes can be performed as long as the two dyes are positioned outside of the FRET sensitive range. With dual excitation of both reporters, the ability to observe changes at two distinct locations can provide a more comprehensive picture. For example, if two proteins are known to bind to different sequences of location along a DNA, the time course of their binding and movement can be tracked simultaneously. Furthermore, a protein that translocates a long distance along a nucleic acid axis can be tracked in real time using the proposed two-color smPIFE system.
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