Molecular Mechanism of Resolving Trinucleotide Repeat Hairpin by Helicases

Highlights

- Srs2 unfolds trinucleotide repeat (TNR) hairpin repetitively
- Sgs1 unwinds TNR hairpin completely by translocation mediated unwinding
- Srs2 activity depends on the folding strength and the total length of TNR hairpin
- Disparate mechanism of Srs2 and Sgs1 may cooperatively resolve TNR hairpin

In Brief

Srs2 and Sgs1 use different unwinding mechanisms to resolve trinucleotide repeat (TNR) hairpin. While Sgs1 unwinds double-stranded DNA and TNR hairpin indiscriminately, Srs2 displays a repetitive unfolding of TNR hairpin. Qiu et al. reveal disparate mechanisms of Srs2 and Sgs1 that may contribute to efficient resolving of the TNR hairpin.
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SUMMARY

Trinucleotide repeat (TNR) expansion is the root cause for many known congenital neurological and muscular disorders in human including Huntington’s disease, fragile X syndrome, and Friedreich’s ataxia. The stable secondary hairpin structures formed by TNR may trigger fork stalling during replication, causing DNA polymerase slippage and TNR expansion. Srs2 and Sgs1 are two helicases in yeast that resolve TNR hairpins during DNA replication and prevent genome expansion. Using single-molecule fluorescence, we investigated the unwinding mechanism by which Srs2 and Sgs1 resolves TNR hairpin and compared it with unwinding of duplex DNA. While Sgs1 unwinds both structures indiscriminately, Srs2 displays repetitive unfolding of TNR hairpin without fully unwinding it. Such activity of Srs2 shows dependence on the folding strength and the total length of TNR hairpin. Our results reveal a disparate molecular mechanism of Srs2 and Sgs1 that may contribute differently to efficient resolving of the TNR hairpin.

INTRODUCTION

Trinucleotide repeats (TNR) are successive triplet DNA sequences made up of CTG, CAG, CGG, or CCG that can develop into secondary DNA structures known as hairpins (Mirkin, 2007). These hairpin structures can occasionally arise during aberrant DNA replication or error-prone DNA repair and act as toxic intermediates that can either stall the main replication machinery or trap proteins involved in the DNA repair pathways (Lahue and Slater, 2003; Liu et al., 2010; Mirkin, 2007; Pelletier et al., 2003; Samadashwily et al., 1997; Voina and Lahue, 2009). If left unresolved, such TNR hairpins can lead to genome expansion and chromosomal instability (Cleary et al., 2002), which can give rise to numerous neurodegenerative diseases in humans, including myotonic dystrophy, Huntington’s disease, fragile X syndrome, and Friedreich’s ataxia (Freudentreich et al., 1997; Gatchel and Zoghbi, 2005; Mirkin and Mirkin, 2014; Mirkin, 2006).

Due to the deleterious effects that can arise from the easily expanded TNR hairpins, many studies have focused on searching for proteins that can help destabilize the formation of hairpins. The genetic screening performed in Saccharomyces cerevisiae revealed that DNA helicases Srs2 and Sgs1 are potential inhibitors of TNR expansions (Anand et al., 2012; Bhattacharyya and Lahue, 2004; Dhar and Lahue, 2008; Kerrest et al., 2009). Consistently, cells lacking the gene for Srs2 showed a significant increase (up to 40-fold) in TNR expansions and contractions, resulting in chromosomal fragility (Anand et al., 2012; Bhattacharyya and Lahue, 2004; Kerrest et al., 2009). Deletion of Sgs1 also caused contractions of CTG repeats and increased fragility (Kerrest et al., 2009). Furthermore, double-mutant cells lacking both Srs2 and Sgs1 resulted in cell death (Gangloff et al., 2000), suggesting that the two proteins cooperated to help reduce the stalled replication forks due to TNR hairpins and also reduced the accumulation of toxic DNA intermediates (Fabricre et al., 2002). Interestingly, this activity of Srs2 at TNR during replication was not dependent on Rad51 (Bhattacharyya and Lahue, 2004), suggesting a role of Srs2 unrelated to its anti-recombinase function.

We employed single-molecule fluorescence assays to investigate the mechanisms used by Srs2 and Sgs1 in resolving/unfolding TNR hairpins and compared it with their activity in unwinding double-stranded (ds) DNA. The single-molecule approach enabled us to clearly distinguish between the two distinct modes of unwinding mechanism adopted by the two proteins. First, we found that a monomer of Sgs1 is sufficient for unwinding duplex DNA, while the monomer unit of Srs2 cannot achieve the same unwinding. Second, Sgs1 completely unwinds both duplex DNA and TNR hairpin non-discriminately, whereas Srs2 exhibits a unique repetitive unfolding cycles of TNR hairpin. We also show that the TNR unfolding frequency of Srs2 is modulated by the folding strength and the total length of the TNR hairpin. These results suggest that the repetitive motions of Srs2 may lead to destabilization of TNR hairpins for an extended period, whereas the robust unwinding activity of Sgs1 rapidly resolves the hairpin structures completely. In this way, the disparate TNR unfolding mechanism of Srs2 and Sgs1 can contribute to resolving TNR hairpin in a cooperative and complementary manner. 
RESULTS

Unwinding of Duplex DNA by Srs2 and Sgs1

Prior to testing unwinding of DNA with TNR, we sought to compare the dsDNA unwinding activity between the Srs2 and Sgs1. We prepared a partially duplexed DNA substrate consisting of 18 base pairs (bps) and 20 nucleotide (nt) of polythymine DNA tail (pdT20). The Cy3 (green) and Cy5 (red) fluorescent dyes were located near the 3’ and 5’ end of single-stranded (ss) DNA, respectively, such that it produces a fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) value of 0.7 when excited with a green (532 nm) laser (Roy et al., 2008)(Figure 1A). This DNA substrate enables us to detect both the unwinding of the duplex DNA and the possible motion of the protein on ssDNA (Qiu et al., 2013). Complete unwinding of duplex will result in the disappearance of FRET due to the dissociation of the Cy3 strand, whereas the motion of protein on ssDNA can be tracked by FRET change.

We applied the same concentration of Srs2 (10 nM) or Sgs1 (10 nM) to the pdT20 substrate (Figures 1A and 1D). Here, we employed the full-length Srs2 protein instead of the C-terminal deletion mutant, Srs2C276, used in our previous study, although both have been shown to have similar helicase activities (Qiu et al., 2013). The concentration of Srs2 used here is comparable with previous studies (Anand et al., 2012; Bhattacharyya and Lahue, 2004) in which we do not anticipate significant unwinding of the dsDNA (Lytle et al., 2014). When Srs2 (10 nM) and ATP (1 mM) were added to the pdT20 substrate, we observed a rapid FRET
fluctuation between two FRET states (Figure 1B), consistent with the previously reported repetitive movement of Srs2 on ssDNA, fueled by ATP hydrolysis (Qiu et al., 2013). The FRET values collected from over 1,000 single molecules were built into FRET histograms before (Figure 1C, top) and after 12 min of reaction (Figure 1C, bottom). The single high FRET peak (0.7) that arises from DNA molecules before the reaction (top) shifts into two peaks (bottom) upon addition of Srs2 and ATP. This is from the compilation of the two FRET states seen in the single-molecule traces, such as in Figure 1B, and is due to the repetitive motion of Srs2 on ssDNA. To measure the unwinding activity, we counted the number of DNA molecules on the experimental surface over time. Over 12 min, the number of molecules exhibiting FRET (with both Cy3 and Cy5 signals) remained approximately the same (Figures S1A and S1C), indicating a negligible unwinding activity by Srs2 (10 nM). Conversely, when the same concentration of Sgs1 (10 nM) and ATP (1 mM) were added to the same DNA construct (pdT20, Figure 1D), we observed a rapid FRET decrease in the majority of single-molecule traces (Figure 1E), followed by the loss of Cy3 signal on the experimental surface (Figures S1B and S1D). The FRET histograms taken before and after 12 min of unwinding (Figure 1F) indicate that the major population of high FRET molecules disappeared as a result of active unwinding by Sgs1 (10 nM). To quantify the unwinding kinetics, we counted the number of Cy3 molecules every 5–10 s after the addition of the proteins and converted the decrease in Cy3 count as unwinding percentages for both Srs2 and Sgs1 (Figure 1G). The imaging area was switched every 5–10 s to minimize the loss of DNA molecule signals due to photobleaching. The unwinding rate of Sgs1 was estimated to be 1.40 ± 0.08 min⁻¹, whereas the Srs2-induced unwinding was negligible. We have shown previously that 200 nM Srs2 unwound the same DNA at the rate of 0.3 min⁻¹ (Qiu et al., 2013), which is still substantially slower than the rate observed for 10 nM Sgs1.

We have also demonstrated in our earlier work that a monomer of Srs2 (Qiu et al., 2013). Here, we adapted the same platform for testing the monomer unwinding activity of full-length Srs2 and Sgs1 proteins. Histidine-tagged Srs2 or FLAG-tagged Sgs1 (0.5–1 nM) were each immobilized on a surface treated with biotinylated Ni-nitroloacetic acid (NTA) or biotinylated anti-FLAG antibody, respectively (Figures 1H and 1I). This platform enables one to immobilize monomer proteins on surface and detect monomeric protein activity. To this platform, we applied a non-biotinylated version of the same FRET DNA, pdT20, and ATP to initiate unwinding. In this reverse configuration, we do not capture any signal until the labeled substrate binds the protein. For Srs2, we obtained FRET fluctuations occurring in successive bursts (Figure 1H), representing a repetitive cycle of Srs2 motion per one DNA binding (Qiu et al., 2013). For Sgs1, we observed an initial high FRET (DNA binding), which immediately transitions to low FRET due to unwinding, followed by a disappearance of the Cy3 (green) signal, indicating the release of the unwound strand due to complete unwinding of the dsDNA (Figure 1I). We demonstrate that Sgs1, but not Srs2 can unwind duplex DNA as a monomer. This result combined with the requirement of 50–200 nM Srs2 for efficient dsDNA unwinding suggests that multimers of Srs2 are needed for DNA unwinding (Lytle et al., 2014; Qiu et al., 2013).
cannot be detected in our setup (total internal reflection fluorescence microscope).

To quantitate and compare the unwinding activity of Srs2 and Sgs1, we counted single molecules that exhibit both Cy3 and Cy5 signals over time. The overall FRET histogram taken before and after the unwinding reaction clearly shows that Sgs1 unwinds actively, while Srs2 does not induce substantial unwinding (Figures S2A–S2C). We calculated the rate of unwinding in the same manner as before (Figure 2D). We note that this rate, calculated from over 1,000 molecules, includes both binding ($K_{on}$) and unwinding of TNR hairpin and dsDNA, comparable with a biochemical rate that can be measured in bulk solution. Therefore, this rate cannot be directly compared with the rate of FRET decrease observed in Figure 2D, which only represents TNR hairpin unwinding of a single molecule. The resulting rate of Sgs1 unwinding of TNR DNA (0.48 min$^{-1}$) is approximately three times lower than the rate at which Sgs1 unwinds actively, while Srs2 does not induce substantial unwinding (Figures S2A–S2C). We calculated the rate of unwinding in the same manner as before (Figure 2D). We note that this rate, calculated from over 1,000 molecules, includes both binding ($K_{on}$) and unwinding of TNR hairpin and dsDNA, comparable with a biochemical rate that can be measured in bulk solution. Therefore, this rate cannot be directly compared with the rate of FRET decrease observed in Figure 2D, which only represents TNR hairpin unwinding of a single molecule. The resulting rate of Sgs1 unwinding of TNR DNA (0.48 min$^{-1}$) is approximately three times lower than the rate at which Sgs1 unwound a partial duplex DNA (Figure 1G). This difference is likely due to the combined effect of longer length of the dsDNA and a possible barrier effect imposed by TNR hairpin. In contrast, the Srs2-induced unwinding of the TNR substrate was negligible (Figure 2D).

Both Srs2 and Sgs1 Unwind TNR Hairpin Before Duplex

We asked if the unfolding of the hairpin occurs prior to unwinding of the DNA duplex, especially in the case of Sgs1. We prepared an open-ended TNR hairpin similar to a previous study (Dhar and Lahue, 2008), in which the hairpin consists of seven repeats of CTG, with a short, 9-bp dsDNA to hold the end of the hairpin stem closed (Figure 3A). The 9-bp duplex was inserted at the end of TNR only to hold the two strands together and did not require active unwinding. The right upper strand is labeled with Cy3 and the right lower strand with Cy5. In this configuration, if the protein proceeds through the hairpin completely, we will observe a loss of only the Cy3 signal as the right top strand is released from the DNA (Figure S3A). On the other hand, if the protein bypasses the hairpin region and unwinds the dsDNA, we will observe a disappearance of both Cy3 and Cy5 signals as both strands on the right are released. The addition of Srs2 or Sgs1 to this open-hairpin TNR construct in the absence of ATP induced neither FRET fluctuations nor loss of fluorescent signals due to DNA unwinding. When we applied Srs2 or Sgs1 to this substrate with 1 mM ATP, we observed a loss of Cy3 signals when excited with the green (532 nm) laser, but not the Cy5 signals when excited with the red (635 nm) laser (Figures S3B and S3C), indicating that both proteins process through the TNR hairpin. The representative single-molecule FRET traces obtained for Srs2 showed a short duration of low-to-high FRET fluctuations. See also Figure S3.
fluctuations before the complete unwinding of the open hairpin (Figure 3B). In contrast, Sgs1 induced a rapid transition from high to low FRET, reflecting a fast unwinding of the TNR hairpin (Figure 3C). We measured the dwell time corresponding to the duration of hairpin unwinding (denoted as $d_t$) by Srs2 and Sgs1 and found that Srs2 remained in the hairpin structure three times longer than Sgs1 (Figures 3D and 3E). In the case of Srs2, the complete unfolding of the TNR hairpin, which is not seen in the closed-loop hairpin substrate, is likely due to the short and open-ended hairpin, which is held together only by a short DNA duplex, which can be destabilized easily. To test if the dye position induced any difference in hairpin unfolding, we prepared an alternative DNA, in which the two dyes were positioned across the hairpin junction (Figure S3D). When the same experiments were performed, we obtained the same result with the comparable dwell time distribution for both Srs2 and Sgs1 (Figures 3D and 3E). In the case of Srs2, the complete unfolding of the TNR hairpin, which is not seen in the closed-loop hairpin substrate, is likely due to the short and open-ended hairpin, which is held together only by a short DNA duplex, which can be destabilized easily. To test if the dye position induced any difference in hairpin unfolding, we prepared an alternative DNA, in which the two dyes were positioned across the hairpin junction (Figure S3D). When the same experiments were performed, we obtained the same result with the comparable dwell time distribution for both Srs2 and Sgs1 (Figures 3D and 3E). This is a clear indication that both proteins proceed through the TNR hairpin and that the Sgs1-induced unwinding of dsDNA seen in Figure 2C occurs after unfolding the TNR. The short duration of FRET fluctuations observed only in Srs2 suggests that Srs2 has an inherent tendency to remain at the TNR hairpin, while Sgs1 simply unwinds the hairpin in the same way it unwinds the dsDNA.

To test if ssDNA tail is required for unwinding by Sgs1 and repetitive unfolding by Srs2, we prepared a (CTG)$_{11}$ containing DNA without the 3' ssDNA (Figure S3G). At 10 nM, Sgs1 induced about 60% unwinding with the rate of 0.3/min, whereas Srs2 displayed the similar repetitive unfolding of TNR without unwinding duplex as seen before (Figures S3H–S3J). These data indicate that the unwinding by Sgs1 and the TNR unfolding by Srs2 may occur in the context of dsDNA without the ssDNA tail.

**Repetitive Unwinding by Srs2 Is Altered by the Hairpin Strength**

Next, we sought to investigate if the repetitive unfolding of TNR hairpin by Srs2 is affected by the strength of the hairpins. Previous studies indicate that the stability of TNR hairpin depends on the sequence of the triplet, with CGG being the strongest, followed by CTG, CAG, and CCG (Mirkin, 2007). We prepared the four TNR DNA substrates mentioned above while keeping the repeat length at 11. When we applied Srs2 and Sgs1 to these substrates separately, we observed loss of both Cy3 and Cy5 signals for Sgs1 but not Srs2 (Figures S4A and S4B), suggesting that Sgs1 unwound the entire TNR DNA while Srs2 did not. The single-molecule traces show that Srs2 exhibits repetitive unfolding on all four TNR hairpins regardless of the hairpin strength (Figure 4A).
To test whether or not the stability of hairpin affects the extent to which the hairpin is opened by Srs2, we collected traces showing FRET fluctuations and compiled the FRET values into FRET histograms for all four TNR sequences (Figure 4B). Overall FRET histograms can report on the different FRET states that the hairpins undergo during Srs2-mediated repetitive unfolding. If the stronger hairpin is unfolded less, the change in FRET is less. Similarly, if the weakest hairpin is unfolded more, it results in greater change in FRET due to a larger separation between the two FRET dyes. The FRET arising from DNA-only traces exhibits a single high FRET peak at 0.9 (Figure 4B, shown in black), whereas the FRET histograms taken after the addition of Srs2 and ATP showed two peaks (Figure 4B, shown in gray) arising from the FRET fluctuations between approximately 0.75 and 0.5. The same FRET distribution of 0.75–0.5 observed in all four TNR constructs suggests that Srs2 repetitively unfolds approximately an equal length of hairpin regardless of the hairpin strength. The molecular dynamics simulation of the (CAG)_{11} displays a double-helical structure that resembles dsDNA. Based on this structure, the unfolding of TNR hairpin will be similar to the unwinding of dsDNA, in which two strands of ssDNA will be splayed open by the protein situated in between (Figure S4C). In this regard, the lowest FRET value of 0.5 obtained at the most unfolded state indicates that the two dyes are approximately 6 nm away, which can arise from approximately 9–12 bp separation.

Although the degree of repetitive unfolding by Srs2 remained similar for different TNR hairpins, we observed apparent differences in the frequency at which Srs2 unfolds the hairpin. To make a quantitative comparison, we collected dwell times between successive unfolding moments (denoted as $\tau$) from over 250 events and plotted the average time for (CAG)_{7}, (CAG)_{11}, and (CAG)_{15} hairpins to a similar degree (Figure 5D). This indicates that Srs2 unfolds only a limited length of the TNR regardless of the total hairpin length. This may represent a well-defined distance from the entry of the hairpin, in which the unfolding may have an important impact on the subsequent biological processes, including the replication fork progression.

To investigate if the length of TNR influences the ability of Srs2 to resolve the hairpin structure, we varied the CAG triplet sequence length from 7 to 15 repeats (Figure 5A) and compared them with the 11-repeat CAG hairpin tested previously. The single-molecule traces obtained for both 7 and 15 repeats showed repetitive hairpin unfolding (indicated by FRET fluctuations) by Srs2 (Figure 5B). In addition, the cumulative FRET histograms reveal the same range of FRET fluctuations as seen in 11 repeats, suggesting that Srs2 unfolds (CAG)$_7$, (CAG)$_{11}$, and (CAG)$_{15}$ hairpins to a similar degree (Figure 5C). This indicates that Srs2 unfolds only a limited length of the TNR regardless of the total hairpin length. This may represent a well-defined distance from the entry of the hairpin, in which the unfolding may have an important impact on the subsequent biological processes, including the replication fork progression.

Next, we looked at the frequency of FRET fluctuation among different repeat lengths. As before, we collected the dwell times between the two successive unfolding moments (denoted as $\tau$) from over 250 events and plotted the average time for (CAG)$_7$, (CAG)$_{11}$, and (CAG)$_{15}$ (Figure 5D). The dwell time for the longest TNR, (CAG)$_{15}$, was more than 2-fold higher than that of the shortest TNR, (CAG)$_7$, reflecting the difficulty of Srs2 in invading the longer TNR hairpin, likely due to the higher thermal stability provided by the longer TNR hairpin. The total duration of the FRET fluctuations remained the same for all three hairpin lengths (Figure 5E). This showed that the length of the triplet repeats in TNR hairpins only affected the frequency of hairpin unfolding by Srs2.
This is in agreement with the less frequent unfolding observed for the more stable hairpin (CGG > CTG > CAG = CCG) shown previously (Figure 4C).

**DISCUSSION**

Previous biochemical studies showed that the deletion of Srs2 or Sgs1 resulted in varying degrees of triplet repeat expansions and contractions, which lead to increasing chromosomal fragility and replication fork stalling (Anand et al., 2012; Bhattacharyya and Lahue, 2004; Kerrest et al., 2009). Using two-dimensional gel electrophoresis, Srs2 was shown to facilitate the progression of replication fork by unwinding TNR hairpins that may act as a structural barrier (Anand et al., 2012). Interestingly, this activity was independent of Rad51, suggesting that the role of Srs2 in the context of TNR is not related to its role as an anti-recombinase (Bhattacharyya and Lahue, 2004; Kerrest et al., 2009; Qiu et al., 2013). In this study, we sought to probe the mechanisms by which Srs2 and Sgs1 unfold the TNR hairpin structures. We used DNA constructs that contain folded TNR hairpins in dsDNA, similar to those that can form in the process of replication. This stable hairpin structure is expected to stall replication machinery unless it is resolved by a helicase such as Srs2 and Sgs1 in yeast.

Our results revealed that Sgs1 and Srs2 are inherently different even for unwinding a duplex DNA without the TNR hairpin. Sgs1 is capable of unwinding dsDNA immediately after the addition of a low concentration of protein (10 nM), whereas Srs2, when applied at the same condition, exhibits repetitive movement on ssDNA without unwinding the duplex DNA (Qiu et al., 2013). We note that Srs2, when applied at a much higher concentration (50–200 nM), is capable of unwinding the same DNA efficiently in a tail-length-dependent manner, albeit at a lower unwinding rate than Sgs1 (Lytle et al., 2014; Qiu et al., 2013). Furthermore, the immobilized protein assay demonstrates that not Srs2, but a monomer of Sgs1, is sufficient for unwinding the DNA duplex. This is consistent with the previous finding that multimers of Srs2 are required for efficient unwinding (Qiu et al., 2013), with an unwinding concentration threshold (50 nM), below which unwinding is limited (Lytle et al., 2014).

When encountering the TNR hairpins, Sgs1 and Srs2 display a disparate mechanism to resolve this secondary structure (Figure 6). Sgs1 unfolds the TNR hairpin in the same manner that it unwinds the duplex DNA. By adopting the open-ended TNR hairpin structure (Dhar and Lahue, 2008), we showed that Sgs1 does not bypass the TNR hairpin but unwinds it, likely by tracking the ssDNA from a 3′ to 5′ direction (Bennett et al., 1999; Cejka and Kowalczykowski, 2010; Sun et al., 1999). In contrast, we observe that Srs2 remains near the site of the hairpin while repeatedly unfolding the TNR structure. Based on the range of the fluctuating FRET values, Srs2 is likely acting near the entry of the TNR hairpin. This repetitive unfolding activity can persist for 30–40 s without dissociation. We posit that the weak unwinding activity of Srs2 enables it to primarily focus on destabilizing the TNR hairpin rather than proceeding to dsDNA unwinding. This is in agreement with the previous studies reporting that Srs2 is more efficient at resolving TNR than Sgs1 (Anand et al., 2012; Bhattacharyya and Lahue, 2004; Dhar and Lahue, 2008). The repetitive TNR unfolding activity exhibited by Srs2 may provide an efficient mechanism for allowing replication fork to proceed in the presence of DNA secondary structures.

The repetitive activity of SF1 and SF2 helicases has been reported previously (Myong et al., 2005, 2007, 2009; Park et al., 2010; Qi et al., 2013; Qiu et al., 2013). Although it is not clear if this activity is present in cells, based on the diverse array of biological pathways in which they participate, it is plausible to predict that the repetitive activity is conserved for functional purposes. In several cases, it was demonstrated that the repetitive translocation activity of the protein serves to keep the ssDNA clear of other proteins from binding (Myong et al., 2005; Park et al., 2010; Qi et al., 2013). In the context of TNR hairpin, the repetitive unfolding by Srs2 may serve to keep the hairpin open to allow the replication machinery to proceed. The repeated action, rather than a single round of unfolding activity, may be more efficient in maintaining the open structure of the hairpin for an extended period while waiting for the arrival of a replication complex, for example. In contrast, the complete unwinding of TNR hairpin displayed by Sgs1 may not serve in this capacity, since the hairpin can reform easily after the protein has unwound the hairpin. To further test if Sgs1 and Srs2 can be loaded directly to the TNR hairpin without the 3′ ssDNA overhang tail, we tested a control DNA in which the ssDNA tail was cut using restriction enzymes (Myong et al., 2005). Our results showed that Sgs1 efficiently resolved these DNA constructs, whereas Srs2 did not.

**Figure 6. Summary of Srs2 and Sgs1 Unwinding of TNR Hairpin**

When encountering TNR hairpin, Sgs1 unwinds it by tracking single-strand DNA in the 3′ to 5′ direction and lead to duplex DNA unwinding. In contrast, Srs2 remains near the entry of the TNR hairpin and unfolds it repetitively. Based on the different mode of TNR processing, Sgs1 and Srs2 can play a complementary role in resolving TNR structures.
removed. Interestingly, Sgs1 induced complete unwinding of 60% of this DNA. Srs2, on the other hand, exhibited little to no unwinding as before but showed strong repetitive FRET fluctuations, similar to the TNR DNA with a 3’ tail. This activity of Srs2 may be more relevant to a genomic locus, in which most of the DNA are in double-stranded form. It is possible that both Sgs1 and Srs2 act in conjunction with each other, where Sgs1 acts as the forerunner of the initial opening of the hairpin and Srs2 follows to maintain that opening.

The analysis of Srs2 on varying sequences and lengths of TNR hairpin reveals some similarities and interesting differences in its ability to resolve the hairpin. The outstanding similarity found in all DNAs we examined is that Srs2 exhibits a repetitive unfolding that unfolds similar length of all hairpins. First, the fluctuating FRET signal exhibited in all cases indicates the universality of the repetitive nature of the hairpin destabilizing activity of Srs2. Second, the similar level of FRET fluctuation range shown in all cases (FRET histogram peaks) points to the same degree to which Srs2 resolves the secondary structure formed by TNR. This is reminiscent of the repetitive movement of Srs2 seen on ssDNA after its removal of the Rad51 filament (Qiu et al., 2013). Regardless of the length of ssDNA, Srs2 scrunches a well-defined length of ssDNA. We reasoned that the position of this activity may be crucial in preventing the reformation and nucleation of the Rad51 filament. Likewise, the repetitive unfolding of Srs2 at the entry of the hairpin may be advantageous in reducing the critical energetic barrier for the replication machinery to pass through the otherwise tightly structured TNR hairpin. In summary, our study revealed an intrinsic unwinding mechanism of Srs2 and Sgs1, which may lead to differential regulation of TNR hairpin.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Oligonucleotides**

Custom oligonucleotides were purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies. The oligonucleotides with end-labeled dyes are ordered pre-labeled. The oligonucleotides used is 1:2.

**DNA Sequences**

pdT20: 5’-GCCTCGGTCCGTCGCA-biotin-3’ + 5’-TGCGAGCGCACGAG-3’

Internal amino modifier is represented as (C6 dT); this can be used to label DNA with an internal Cy3 or Cy5 dye.

DNA Substrate Preparation

Partial duplex DNA substrates were prepared by mixing the appropriate biotinylated and non-biotinylated oligonucleotides in a 1:2 molar ratio at 10 μM concentration in DNA annealing buffer (10 mM MgCl2, 10 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0]). Partial duplex DNA substrates for tethered-protein experiments were prepared using non-biotinylated strands of oligonucleotides with the same sequences as the biotinylated oligos. The annealing reaction was performed by incubating the two strands at 95°C for 2 min followed by slow cooling to room temperature. Three-stranded oligonucleotide mixtures were annealed using the method described by Dhar and Lahue (2008).

**Proteins**

The full-length Srs2 protein was overexpressed and purified as described by Antony et al. (2009). The yeast wild-type Sgs1 protein was provided by Prof. Patrick Sung’s laboratory (New Haven, CT).

**Reaction Conditions for Srs2 and Sgs1**

Standard reaction buffer was 40 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 50 mM KCl, 2 mM MgCl2, with an oxygen scavenging system containing 0.8% v/v dextrose, 1 mg/ml glucose oxidase, 0.03 mg/ml catalase (Joo and Ha, 2008), and 2-mercaptoethanol (1% v/v), all items were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. The measurements were performed at room temperature (21°C ± 1°C). 1 mM ATP was used in all experiments unless otherwise specified.

**Single-Molecule Fluorescence Assay**

Single-molecule FRET and single-molecule protein-induced fluorescence enhancement measurements were done using a wide-field total internal reflection fluorescence microscope (Hwang et al., 2011; Roy et al., 2008). Cy3 (donor) on DNA was excited by an Nd:YAG laser (532 nM, 75 mW, Coherent CUBE) via total internal reflection. The fluorescence signals from Cy3 and Cy5 were collected through an objective (Olympus UPlan S-Apo; X100 numerical aperture; 1.4 oil immersion) and detected at 100 ms time resolution using an electron multiplying charge-coupled device camera (Kon DU-897EC50-#BV; Andor Technology). The camera was controlled using a homemade C++ program. Single-molecule traces were extracted from the recorded video file by IDL software.

**Srs2 and Sgs1 Unwinding Partial Duplex DNA**

Yeast Srs2 or Sgs1 was each mixed in reaction buffer and ATP to 10 nM concentration and added to a flow imaging chamber that had 100 pM partial duplex DNA specifically immobilized on a polyethylene glycol (PEG)-coated quartz surface through biotin-NeutrAvidin linkage (Hanshan and Weinberg, 2011). For counting unwound DNA molecules (loss of Cy3 signals), short movies (5–10 s) were taken for over 12 min.

**Tethered Srs2 Protein**

The full-length Srs2 protein has 9 histidine tags, which are tethered to the PEG-coated quartz surface through NeutrAvidin-biotin-tris-NTA linkage (Hanshan and Weinberg, 2011). For counting unwound DNA molecules (loss of Cy3 signals), long movies (3 min) were taken for over 15 min.

**Tethered Srs2 Protein**

The full-length Srs2 protein has 9x histidine tags, which are tethered to the PEG-coated quartz surface via biotinylated anti-his antibody. Anti-6X His tag antibody (Biotin) was obtained through Abcam.
For Srs2 translocation experiments, biotin-tris-NTA (20 nM) was mixed with NiCl₂ (50 mM) in T50 buffer (50 mM Tris [pH 7.5], 50 mM NaCl) and incubated on ice for 15 min. The mixture was then added to a flow chamber that already had NeutrAvidin immobilized to the PEG-coated surface, and allowed to incubate for 10 min at room temperature. 0.5–1 nM Srs2 in T50 buffer was then added to the flow chamber and incubated for 5 min at room temperature. Finally, non-biotinylated partial duplex DNA substrate in reaction buffer and ATP was added to the flow chamber to initiate the reaction.

For Sgs1 translocation experiments, biotinylated anti-his antibody (10 nM) was added to a flow chamber that already had NeutrAvidin immobilized to the PEG-coated surface, then allowed to incubate for 10 min at room temperature. 0.5–1 nM Sgs1 in T50 buffer was then added to the flow chamber and incubated for 5 min at room temperature. Finally, non-biotinylated partial duplex DNA substrate in reaction buffer and ATP was added to the flow chamber to initiate the reaction.

Data Analysis
Single-molecule traces were analyzed using codes written in MATLAB. FRET efficiency values were calculated as a ratio between acceptor intensity and total donor and acceptor intensity (Roy et al., 2008).

For various dwell time analyses, FRET valley-to-valley dwell time analysis to obtain tᵣ was measured manually from individual FRET traces within MATLAB and the resulting histograms and fittings were generated using Origin (OriginLab). Binning sizes varied based on the type and range of data collected. Fluctuation duration was measured as the time that DNA was occupied by the protein before leaving. DNA unwinding time by Srs2 or Sgs1 was measured as the time it took from high FRET fluctuations (protein occupying DNA) to go to low FRET before signal disappearance due to DNA unwinding.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION
Supplemental Information includes four figures and can be found with this article online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.str.2015.04.006.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS
Y.Q. purified the Srs2 protein, conducted all the experiments and analysis, and participated in writing and editing the manuscript. S.M. supervised the study and wrote the manuscript. H.N. purified the Sgs1 protein under the guidance of P.S. L.V. performed the molecular dynamics simulation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS
We thank the Myong Laboratory members for helpful discussions and Jordan Billingsley for editing the manuscript. Support for this work was provided by NIH Director’s New Innovator Award (343 NIH 1 DP2 GM105453 A) and American Cancer Society (Research Scholar Grant; RSG-12-066-01-DMC) for S. M. and Y. Q.

Received: November 20, 2014
Revised: March 19, 2015
Accepted: April 1, 2015
Published: May 21, 2015

REFERENCES


Supplemental Information

Molecular Mechanism of Resolving Trinucleotide Repeat Hairpin by Helicases

Yupeng Qiu, Hengyao Niu, Lela Vukovic, Patrick Sung, and Sua Myong
SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Molecular mechanism of resolving trinucleotide repeat hairpin by helicases

Yupeng Qiu¹, Hengyao Niu², Patrick Sung², Sua Myong¹, ³, ⁴, ⁵

1. Bioengineering Department, University of Illinois, 1304 W. Springfield Ave. Urbana, Illinois 61801, USA
2. Department of Molecular Biophysics and Biochemistry, Yale University, 333 Cedar Street, PO Box 208024, USA
3. Institute for Genomic Biology, University of Illinois, 1206 W. Gregory St. Urbana IL 61801, USA
4. Physics Frontier Center (Center of Physics for Living Cells), University of Illinois, 1110 W. Green St. Urbana IL 61801, USA
5. Biophysics and Computational Biology, University of Illinois, 1110 W. Green St. Urbana, Illinois 61801

Figure S1
Figure S2
Figure S3
Figure S4
Figure S1 Related to Figure 1 (A, B) Schematic of DNA identical to Figure 1A. (C, D) Screen capture of Cy3 (donor) and Cy5 (red) signals from single molecules of FRET DNA. At 10 nM concentration, Srs2 cannot unwind DNA duplex (Cy3 and Cy5 signals remain after unwinding reaction), but Sgs1 unwinds, leading to a rapid disappearance of Cy3 and FRET signal. (E) Example single molecule trace of DNA prior to unwinding.
**Figure S2** Related to Figure 2 (A) Schematic of TNR DNA with FRET dyes to which Srs2 is added without ATP. (B) smFRET traces display constant high FRET, suggesting that the FRET fluctuation depends on Srs2 activity in the presence of ATP. (C) Schematic of TNR DNA with FRET dye pairs. FRET histogram of before and after unwinding by Srs2 (D) and Sgs1 (E). (F) Alternate FRET DNA where Cy5 (red dye) is moved away from the hairpin junction to avoid potential perturbation of the dye in Srs2’s activity. (G) smFRET traces that show the similar FRET fluctuation seen in other DNA constructs, suggesting that the dye at the hairpin opening did not cause disruption. (H, I) From previous study, we have shown that the dye location did not cause any difference in the repetitive translocation of Srs2 (1). Both the internally positioned and end-labeled dyes yielded same activity of Srs2.
Figure S3 Related to Figure 3 (A) Schematic of open-ended TNR DNA. (B, C) Both Srs2 and Sgs1 lead to complete unfolding of open-ended TNR hairpin. The green illumination shows disappearance of Cy3.
(green) signal, yet red illumination displays remaining signals of red labeled DNA that remains intact after the TNR unwinding. (D) Schematic of an alternate open-ended hairpin DNA where two FRET dyes are positioned at the entry of hairpin junction. (E, F) Both smFRET traces of Srs2 and Sgs1 show the same pattern i.e Srs2 exhibits FRET fluctuation followed by unwinding whereas Sgs1 displays an immediate unwinding without FRET fluctuation. The dwell time distribution is also similar to the case shown in Figure 3E, F. This experiment shows that the dye position did not make a difference in the activity of both proteins. (G) TNR DNA without 3’ ssDNA tail. (H) Sgs1 leads to rapid unwinding, signified by the fast FRET decrease, followed by disappearance of green dye, which results from dissociation of the entire strand. (I) Srs2 displays repetitive unfolding of TNR as seen before. (J) Unwinding by Sgs1 and two different concentrations of Srs2 shows that Sgs1 even at 10nM unwinds the TNR containing DNA proficiently whereas Srs2 is shows great deficiency in unwinding capability even at 200nM concentration. Data are represented as mean ± SEM.
**Figure S4** Related to Figure 4 Screen capture of Cy3 and Cy5 channels taken before and after the unwinding of TNR DNAs by Srs2 (upper panel, A) and Sgs1 (lower panel, B). The four TNR DNAs include 11 repeats of CCG, CAG, CTG and CGG. Srs2 exhibits repetitive unfolding of all DNAs. The lack of
unwinding by Srs2 is evident from the density of Cy3 and Cy5 signals that remain the same before and after the unwinding reaction. Sgs1 leads to rapid unwinding of all DNAs as shown by the disappearance of both Cy3 and FRET signals (red channel). (C) MD simulation of (CAG)_{11} and (CAG)_{15} shows that the TNR hairpin resembles that of double helical structure of DNA.